

1. (a) Find a proof of the equivalence of WOP and PMI (use the set-based version of PMI given in our text), and write it in your own words.

**As discussed in class on Wednesday, you will likely have a circular proof of the equivalence of WOP, PMI, and PCI (aka “Strong Induction”).**

*(This task is all over the web and many textbooks, so it’s silly for me to require you to do it from scratch. However, it’s a foundational mathematical equivalence that all math majors should learn at some point. I may require you to produce it - or one direction - on Exam #1, so be sure the proof you turn in is something you understand thoroughly.)*

- (b) For efficiency, I often ask for just ONE direction of a biconditional proof on exams, but it’s usually MY choice of direction. And to reinforce your basic logic skills, I often ask in some form other than the standard “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ” phrasing. Tell whether each statement below is equivalent to  $WOP \Rightarrow PMI$  or to  $PMI \Rightarrow WOP$ .
  - i.  $PMI$  isn’t true if  $WOP$  isn’t.
  - ii.  $PMI$  can only be true if  $WOP$  is.
  - iii.  $PMI$  is necessary for  $WOP$ .
  - iv. A sufficient condition for  $PMI$  to be true is that  $WOP$  is true.
  - v. Given the circular proof above, I can ask you about any two of the three theorems (WOP, PMI, PCI).

2. Use the Division Algorithm in proving that if  $n$  is a prime number greater than 5, then  $6|(n^2 - 1)$ .

3. (a) What is the largest number that produces any “crossing out” in a 1-to-1,000 Sieve of Eratosthenes? Explain how you know.
  - (b) What is the largest number that is NOT crossed out?
  - (c) What is the LAST number that IS crossed out? Explain how you know. (Note: I do NOT mean the largest; rather, I’m asking in terms of the order in which we actually follow the Sieve’s steps.)
  - (d) Answer the above three questions for a 1-to-1,150 Sieve.